



Changing geographies of production in times of crisis. Evidence from Greek SMEs' relocation to Bulgaria, 2007-2014.

Nikos Kapitsinis

PhD candidate, School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol

Supervisors: Wendy Larner, Adam Dixon



K Firm relocation

Research of economic geographers

- The conditions
- Opportunity (incentive) for higher profits
- Consensus on conditions that affect firm relocation





Ke The current crisis

Changing conditions under which firm decisions are made

Firm relocation

- Decisions
- Incentives
- components of the economies that are taken as significant for these decisions
- \rightarrow should neither be predicted nor presupposed

Open investigation of the conditions of the economic and institutional environment





Kerte Contribution

How firm relocation develops under the unique conditions of the current crisis in the context of differentiated national Political Economies

🖌 Claims

The diversified evolution and confrontation of the crisis \rightarrow

- \rightarrow Firm exit increases from the most affected places
- → Changing components of economic and institutional environment affecting relocation
- \rightarrow Not so much opportunity-driven firm movements

Engagement with debates

- 1. impact of the crisis and austerity and the changing economic geographies
- 2. institutional arrangements and their engagement with geographies of production
- 3. connection between the economic and the cultural





Kerke Greek SMEs' exit to Bulgaria



Annual registration of new Greek firms in Bulgaria





K A political economy approach

Firms react to falling profit rate and economic decline by changing location

Seeking for 'spatial fixes' \rightarrow relocation towards more profitable territories

Important role of Institutions in spatial fix \rightarrow securing various of its components

Firms make efforts to take advantage of economic and institutional spatial differentiation











Methodology

In-depth and detailed analysis \rightarrow different processes may develop in different ways in different places

Qualitative method

SMEs relocated after 2007: 103 structured questionnaires and 68 semi-structured interviews

Accounting companies and Chambers of Commerce: 11 interviews





Kerne The post-crisis Greek Political Economy

Until 2010 the initial impact of the crisis \rightarrow quite significant

After 2010 \rightarrow deterioration

Policy/political change \rightarrow at the expense of SMEs

Transition of policy focus

All these are interpreted in:

- austerity
- budget cuts

 \rightarrow Very risky and pressing economic and institutional context





Ke The economic context of Greece under crisis

Components of the Greek economic and institutional environment that firms did not favour	Score
1. High taxation and low return rate of VAT	4,54
2. High level of bureaucracy	4,30
3. Market instability and huge fall of sales	4,13
4. Difficult access to external finance/credit	3,86
5. Deposits' seizure of the state without notice beforehand	3,41
6 .Difficult access/expensive energy and raw materials	3,38
7. High labour cost	3,22
8. Inability to use the post-dated checks due to the complete loss of trust in the Greek market	3,10
9. High level of rent prices	2,92
10. The impact of Greece's membership in the Eurozone	2,81
11. Intensive competition in the Greek market	2,53
12. High transportation cost	2,43





K The post-crisis Greek economic & institutional environment I

Taxation:

High tax rate Delayed VAT return exceptional taxes



Drop of demand -Unemployment rate increase (190%) -Household income decline (30%) -Bank checks → became useless

Difficult access to external finance

-bank illiquidity

-banks' recapitalisation

- -bad firm economic performance
- -non-performing business loans

'Last year I paid 70% of the revenues for taxes. They are hunting me! The State had become major partner-shareholder of my firm' (entrepreneur No8, Petrich)



'When the bank does not provide even small loans I cannot run my business. If I had access to credit I would not have left' (entrepreneur No36, Blagoevgrad)

Wages' cuts \rightarrow 35%



However, thousands of firms have relocated as production was not profitable





- Kerne Post-crisis Greek economic & institutional environment II
- Changing institutional forms:
- -The crisis
- -High speed of change
 - Low level of community development \rightarrow connection between the economic and the cultural
 - Medium level of society development







₭ The relocation

Despite:

- 1.Improvement of 'doing business' and bureaucratic aspects
- 2.Firm internal decisions



Greek SMEs \rightarrow did neither favour nor stand the economic and institutional context and relocated to survive and restore their profits

No significant constraints to relocate. Relocation costs → managed easily *'In one night, I put all the stuff in a van and I moved to Bulgaria'* (entrepreneur No39, Blagoevgrad)





K The broader incentives

Firm relocation incentives

What was the broader incentive of	Firm survival and improvement of its			
relocation/expansion of your firm?	Market expansion	Lower production cost	economic situation	Other
Overall	13	9	61	17
0-9	9	7	65	19
10-49	20	12	56	12
50-249	30	20	30	20

Would you have been obliged to close your firm if you had not moved/expanded your

5 1 5		
firm to Bulgaria?	Yes	No
Overall	72	28
0-9	77	23
10-49	75	25
50-249	33	66

'Profits and revenues largely dropped. The customers did not pay. The impact of the crisis was determinant' (businesswoman No53, Sofia)





GDP growth





K The Bulgarian Political Economy of crisis

- National State \rightarrow "Active agents"
- Bulgaria \rightarrow taxation, regulated labour market
- Less affected by the crisis
- 2009 \rightarrow cuts of government expenditures
- 2012 \rightarrow important austerity policies

Different evolution and confrontation of the crisis + previous conditions

Different (better) economic and institutional environment





Ke The attractiveness of Bulgarian economy

Conditions that attracted Greek firms in Bulgaria	Score
1. Geographical proximity with Greece	4,57
2. Low taxation and high return rate of VAT	4,52
3. Low level of bureaucracy	3,94
4. Low labour cost	3,71
5. Easy access/cheap energy and raw materials	3,53
6. The Bulgarian State did not have many financial requirements (in general)	3,50
7. Market stability and stable overall economic environment	3,42
8. Low level of competition in the Bulgarian market	3,27
9. Low level of rent prices	3,27
10. Low transportation cost	3,15
11. Strong ties between private firms in Bulgaria and Greece	2,79
12. Size of the Bulgarian market	2,63
13. Presence of Greek firms in Bulgaria	2,63
14. The impact of Bulgaria's non-membership in the Eurozone	2,50
15. Cultural connections with Greece	2,43
16. Easy access to finance/credit	2,22





Kerne Karley Kerne Ke

Geographical proximity: -facilitating trade with Greece -stay close to customers and staff

-<u>social</u> <u>dimension of</u> <u>Greek SMEs'</u> <u>owners as</u> <u>family</u> <u>members</u> Taxation & VAT return



Market and economic stability

'Here customers pay, you feel <u>secure</u> that you will receive your money' (entrepreneur No31, Blagoevgrad).

'The Bulgarian <u>institutional</u> environment is more business-friendly' (Vice-President of Chambers of Thessaloniki)

'In 1990s and early 2000s the firms tended to move equally to Bulgaria and to FYROM, but since 2007 a significant preference for Bulgaria has been observed'



K Discussion

Firm relocation role in resolving the crisis

Seeking a spatial fix (metaphorically)

'I moved to Bulgaria to escape from decline as well as maintain my firm and increase the profits' (entrepreneur No63, Plovdiv).

Spatial fix (literally)





Kerte Conclusions I

Economic and institutional spatial differentiation, enhanced by the differentiated evolution and confrontation of the crisis

 \rightarrow Interpreted as diversified business climate between Greece and Bulgaria

 \rightarrow accelerates capital flows

No trust to the institutions and no profitable production in Greece: necessity oriented relocation

- Scale: \rightarrow affecting the whole countries
 - \rightarrow more intensive differentiation at national level

Risky Greek economic context: taxation, lack of demand, lack of external finance, lack of trust to the institutions. Downgrade of labour cost

-Behind the conditions there are causal forces \rightarrow Crisis, State, Norms





K Conclusions II

