# Regional cooperation: evidence from European cooperative innovation networks

Sara Amoroso Alex Coad Nicola Grassano

European Commission, JRC, B.3

September 29, 2016

Regional innovation networks stimulate economic growth

- Innovation relies on global knowledge flows of formal codified knowledge
- Territorial aspect of innovation and learning capacity has become a key resource in regional competitive advantage
- Precompetitive R&D collaboration  $\rightarrow$  key instrument of STI policy
- European Framework Programmes (FPs) priorities changed over time: from high-tech  $\rightarrow$  scientific excellence  $\rightarrow$  European scientific integration

- Effects of cohesion policies on inter-regional income convergence (López-Bazo er al. 1999, Ramajo et al. 2008)
- Contribution of FPs to the integration of a ERA
  - network analysis (Breschi&Cusmano 2004, Roediger-Schluga&Barber)
  - spatial interaction models (Scherngell&Lata 2013)

 $\rightarrow$  Effects of spatial, social and knowledge proximity on the intensity of inter-regional R&D collaboration across heterogenous participating regions



- EC medium-term planning instruments for R&I to support and foster research in the European Research Area (ERA)
- Medium/large collaborative projects for 3-5 years with a minimum of 3-6 participants from different Member S/Associated S
- from FP6 new instruments: networks of Excellence (NoE) and integrated projects (IP, basic research)

- Participant-level information on: geographical location, type (firm, university, public organization), project id, project thematic area, project cost (tot. and participant's) and duration
- Formal research collaborations no small-scale collaborations
- 12055 projects (excluded all projects with one participant)
- More than 29000 participating organizations (2007-2013)
- 282 participating EU regions (NUTS2, v. 2010)

 $\mathit{n}\text{-by-}\mathit{n}$  collaboration matrix by aggregating the n. of individual collaborations at regional level

 $\rightarrow$  Y<sub>ij</sub> number of R&D collaborations between region i and j

#### More and less developed regions



All regional links	Sum	Mean	SD	Min	Max
More/More	1099964 (77%)	41.15	114.15	0	3310
More/Less	301648 (21%)	7.66	19.06	0	536
Less/Less	27148 (2%)	1.90	4.89	0	93
All regions	1428760 (100%)	17.78	69.23	0	3310

#### R&D collaborations among European regions

$$Y_{ij2007-2013} = O_i^{\alpha 1} D_j^{\alpha 2} exp\left[\sum_k \beta_k S_{ij2000-2006}^k\right]$$

where  $S_{ij}^k$  are distance measure between region  $i \mbox{ and } j$ 

$$Y_{ij2007-2013} = O_i^{\alpha 1} D_j^{\alpha 2} exp\left[\sum_k \beta_k S_{ij2000-2006}^k\right]$$

where  $S_{ij}^k$  are distance measure between region i and j

Geographical effects

- geographical distance (in 1000 km)
- shared border: dummy=1 if *i* and *j* share a border
- periphery: dummy=1 if i or j are located outside the capital city of a country

$$Y_{ij2007-2013} = O_i^{\alpha 1} D_j^{\alpha 2} exp\left[\sum_k \beta_k S_{ij2000-2006}^k\right]$$

where  $S_{ij}^k$  are distance measure between region i and j

Geographical effects

- geographical distance (in 1000 km)
- shared border: dummy=1 if *i* and *j* share a border
- periphery: dummy=1 if i or j are located outside the capital city of a country

Institutional and economic effects

- GDP distance: difference in the GDP per capita
- international: dummy=1 if i and j are located in different country

$$Y_{ij2007-2013} = O_i^{\alpha 1} D_j^{\alpha 2} exp\left[\sum_k \beta_k S_{ij2000-2006}^k\right]$$

where  $S_{ij}^k$  are distance measure between region i and j

Geographical effects

- geographical distance (in 1000 km)
- shared border: dummy=1 if *i* and *j* share a border
- periphery: dummy=1 if i or j are located outside the capital city of a country

#### Institutional and economic effects

- GDP distance: difference in the GDP per capita
- international: dummy=1 if i and j are located in different country

Knowledge and social proximity

- human capital distance: difference in the % of population in S&T
- technological proximity: correlation between the shares of patents in 35 IPC sub-classes of region's i and j
- social proximity: n. of collaborations in the past FP6

## Results of negative binomial specification

	All	More/More	More/Less	Less/Less
Spatial effects				
geo dist	-0.147***	-0.156***	-0.039***	-0.125***
	(0.008)	(0.012)	(0.011)	(0.020)
shared border	0.401***	0.408***	0.361* <sup>**</sup>	Ò.688* <sup>*</sup> *
	(0.040)	(0.051)	(0.073)	(0.076)
periphery	-0.909***	-0.429***	-0.361***	-0.073
	(0.045)	(0.051)	(0.073)	(0.204)
Institutional and	l economic effects			
international	-0.308***	-0.139***	-0.346***	-0.688***
	(0.021)	(0.027)	(0.032)	(0.056)
GDP dist	-0.024***	-0.007***	-0.016***	-0.012***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.004)
Social and know	ledge proximity			
tech prox	0.147***	-0.008	0.098***	0.174***
	(0.022)	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.063)
HC dist	-0.009***	-0.012***	-0.003**	-0.003
	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.003)
social prox	0.004***	0.002***	0.051***	0.071***
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.004)
intercepts	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
In dispersion	0.326***	0.005	0.189***	0.057**
	(0.007)	(0.059)	(0.011)	(0.214)
Obs	64,317	21,904	31,367	11,046

#### Results

- Heterogeneity in the determinants on FP7 collaborations across different sub-groups of regions
- Geographical distance has a negative effect of the expected R&D collaboration intensity
  but weaker effect between more-less developed regions
- Peripheral regions collaborate less
  - $\rightarrow\,$  the propensity for innovative activities to cluster spatially may favour core regions rather than integrating peripheral ones
- Regional human capital disparities hinder R&D collaboration, as well as institutional/cultural barriers
- Technological proximity can be essential for the absorption of transferred knowledge and has a positive impact on the frequency of cooperation

- FP7 may have helped contributed to geographically integrate European research systems by connecting more and less developed regions,
  - however distance still matters! strong core-region effect, leaving out peripheral regions
  - there are still important institutional barriers
- Most relevant function of FP7 is the creation of dynamic networks, reducing the duplication of research efforts
- Looking at the structure of the European research networks to design appropriate policies