

**“Connecting Smart and Sustainable Growth through Smart Specialisation”:
regional green branching and KETS
in a European patent based analysis.**

**1st SMARTER Conference on Smart Specialisation
and Territorial Development**
28-30 September 2016, JRC-IPTS Seville (Spain)

Sandro Montresor

(sandro.montresor@unikore.it)

Faculty of Economics and Law, Kore University of Enna (IT)

Francesco Quatraro

(francesco.quatraro@unito.it)

Department of Economics and Statistics "S. Cogneetti de Martiis", University of Turin (IT).



Kore University of Enna (IT)

Agenda

1. Inspiring motive.
2. Aim of the paper and research questions.
3. Background literature.
4. Empirical application.
5. Results.
6. Conclusions and future research.



1. Inspiring motive (2/3)

- **Why and how should RIS3 connect regional smartness and sustainability?**
- **The “simple” policy claim:**
- **Why:** regional authorities with greater “proximity” to environmental and growth problems, and higher control of policy instruments for their combined solution (e.g. urban planning, local public procurement, energy programmes) (EC, 2012).
- **How:** “... place sustainable growth at the core of RIS3”; “consider [it] at each step in the design of the RIS3”; “develop multi-level governance for integrated innovation policies, where sustainable growth takes predominance” (ibidem, p. 18) (EC, 2012, p. 18-25).
- **The need of a more research-grounded policy claim:**
- Extending the RIS3 logic of “regional branching” to the green realm.
- Considering the role of Key-Enabling-Technologies (KETs) for regional “green branching”.



1. Inspiring motive (3/3)

- **i) Regional branching (in a nutshell) and RIS3** (Boschma and Giannelle, 2014): regions specialise smartly by diversifying into new sectors and/or technologies, but ***related*** to their pre-existing knowledge base.

• → **Regional “green branching”**: regions connect smart and sustainable growth by mastering new environmental technologies through the recombination of their existing knowledge base.

- **ii) KETS and regional branching (Montresor and Quatraro, 2016)**: given their ***GPT nature***, KETS (also) attenuate the role of technological relatedness for regional branching and help the regional exploration/discovery of new technologies.

• → **KETS and regional green branching**: KETS could make pre-existing regional competencies less binding for the acquisition of new green ones, and help it also in otherwise locked-in “poor-green” regions.



2. Aim of the paper and research questions

- **Aim:**
- Investigate the interplay of regional branching and KETS in helping regions connect smart and sustainable growth strategies, that is, ...
- the determinants of new and cognitively related regional specialisations in green technologies.

- **Research questions:**
- Do technological relatedness #and# KETS affect the region's capacity of acquiring new green technological specialisations?
- Do KETS moderate the impact of technological relatedness on such a regional capacity?



3. Background literature (1/4)

- **The “standard” regional branching hypothesis:** cumulative regional learning affects industry and *technology* diversification, in terms of their *entry*, exit, and cohesion in regions (Tanner, 2014; Neffke et al., 2011).
- **Theoretical foundations** (Castaldi et al., 2014; Colombelli et al., 2014): local “*variety*” of industries and/or technologies spurs Jacobsian knowledge spillovers, turning into “*recombinant innovations*” by relying on their “*technological relatedness*”.
- **Empirical evidence:** several confirmations at the technology level, using bibliometric and patent data (e.g. Koegler et al., 2013; Rigby, 2013; Boschma et al., 2014; Tanner, 2014; Colombelli et al., 2014; Boschma et al., 2015; Castaldi et al., 2015; Montresor-Quatraro, 2016).



3. Background literature (2/4)

- **Towards “green” regional branching:** regional diversification into new, related and sustainable industries and technologies.
- **Theoretical foundations (our own view!):** the special nature of “green technologies” - early-stage, (Consoli et al., 2016), complex (Braungart et al., 2007), multi-mode (Marzucchi-Montresor, 2016) - makes “incremental” approaches (CII-ITC, 2010; EC, 2012) to regional sustainability less risky and costly than “radical” ones (Simmie, 2012; Antonioli et al., 2016).
- **Empirical evidence:** number of supportive case-studies (Cooke, 2008; 2012; EC, 2012), but still few systematic empirical evidences (patent data for European regions) (Tanner, 2014; van den Berge and Weterings, 2013).



3. Background literature (3/4)

- **KETS and regional branching (Montresor and Quatraro, 2016):** GPT like KETS (EC, 2009) - *industrial biotechnology, nanotechnology, micro- and nanoelectronics, photonics, advanced materials, and advanced manufacturing technologies* - can favour regional branching and make technological relatedness less binding for it to happen.
- **Theoretical background:** KETS/GPT attenuate the recombination limits posed by the ruling technological paradigm (Olson and Frey, 2002), and yield “newer” re-combinations beyond simple branching (Frenken et al, 2012).
- **Empirical evidence:** 26 EU countries, 1998-2010; KETS patent-knowledge accounts for new regional technological specialisations and negatively moderates – that is, attenuates – the impact exerted by their related variety to pre-existing technologies.



3. Background literature (4/4)

- **Towards KETS and “green” regional branching.**
- **Theoretical foundations (our own view!):** the combined action of GPT/KETS and regional branching is reinforced with respect to eco-innovations, given the pervasive role of recombinatory processes in economies’ green-transitions (Zeppini and van den Bergh, 2011).
- **Empirical evidence (suggestive):** regional green-branching compatible with different regional knowledge-bases (contra-theory) (Fornahl et al., 2012), providing some other horizontal-locational conditions are present, like access to infrastructure, positive market developments,
 - and KETS?



4. Empirical application (1/6)

- **Dataset and variables:** combination of 3 data sources for EU regions:
- **(1) OECD Reg Pat dataset:** georeferenced filled patent micro-data:
- New technological specialisation of region i in green technology (GT) s , at time t : **$NewGT_RTA_{ist}$** controlling for GT being in KETS (**$Dummy_KETS_{ist}$**);
- Technological relatedness between a newly acquired (by specialisation) GT, s , and the pre-existing technologies of region i : **$Dens_{ist}$** ;
- Pre-existing specialisations (knowledge) of region i in GT: **GT_RTA_{it-k}** ;
- Pre-existing specialisations (knowledge) of region i in KETS technologies: **$KETS_RTA_{it-k}$** ;
- GT and KETS identified by clustering IPCs and CPC according to the WIPO Green Inventory (2012) and the EC-Feasibility-Study (EC, 2012).



4. Empirical application (2/6)

- **Dataset and variables:** combination of 3 data sources:
- **(2) European Regional Database** (Cambridge Econometrics): other determinants of regional branching and controls:
 - Region i valued added at time $t - k$: GVA_{it-k} ;
 - Region i employment at time $t - k$: $Employment_{it-k}$;
- **(3) Eurostat regional statistics:**
 - Region i R&D intensity at time $t - k$: $R\&D_{it-k}$
- **Final sample:** 235 NUTS2 regions for 26 EU countries (excluding only Greece and Croatia from the 28 of the EU due to data constraints) over the period 1981-2010.



4. Empirical application (3/6)

- **Variables construction:**
- **Technology-related variables:** built up by working out the Revealed Technological Advantage (RTA) of region i in the focal technology s :

$$RTA_{ist} = \frac{PAT_{ist} / \sum_{i=1}^n PAT_{ist}}{\sum_{s=1}^m PAT_{ist} / \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^m PAT_{ist}}$$

- Region i technological specialisation in s if $RTA_{ist} > 1$;
- erratic trends in patents smoothed with 5-year MA;
- regressors lagged by 5 years ($k = 5$) (robust choice).



4. Empirical application (4/6)

- **Variables construction:**

$NewGT_RTA_{ist} = 1$, if $GT_RTA_{ist} > 1$ and $0 < GT_RTA_{ist-k} < 1$

$NewGT_RTA_{ist} = 0$, otherwise

$$GT_RTA_{it-k} = \sum_{s=1}^n DGT_RTA_{ist-k}$$

with $DGT_RTA_{ist-k} = 1$ if $GT_RTA_{ist-k} > 1$; 0 otherwise

$$KETS_RTA_{it-k} = \sum_{s=1}^n DKETS_RTA_{ist-k}$$

with $DKETS_RTA_{ist-k} = 1$ if $KETS_RTA_{ist-k} > 1$; 0 otherwise



4. Empirical application (5/6)

Variables construction:

Following and extending Hidalgo et al. (2007),

$$Dens_{ist} = \frac{\sum_{s \neq z} \varphi_{szt-1} NewGT_RTA_{ist}}{\sum_{s \neq z} \varphi_{szt-1}}$$

where :

$$\varphi_{szt} = \min \left\{ P(RTA_{st} | RTA_{zt}), P(RTA_{zt} | RTA_{st}) \right\}$$

$$P(RTA_{st} | RTA_{zt}) = \frac{P(RTA_{st} \cap RTA_{zt})}{P(RTA_{zt})}$$



4. Empirical application (6/6)

- **Econometric strategy:**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NewGT_RTA}_{ist} = f(\text{Dens}_{ist}; \text{GT_RTA}_{it-k}; \text{Dens}_{ist} * \text{GT_RTA}_{it-k}; \\ \text{KETS_RTA}_{it-k}; \text{Dens}_{ist} * \text{KETS_RTA}_{it-k}; \\ \text{Dummy_KETS}_{ist}; z_{it-1}; \text{dtime}; \text{dregion}; \varepsilon_{it}) \end{aligned}$$

- Dichotomous-dependent variable:
- Linear Probability Model as benchmark: binomial regression making use of OLS;
- Generalized Linear Model (McCullaghand Nelder, 1989): reference model to deal with possibly inefficiency (Cox, 1970).



5. Results (preliminary) (1/6)

Table 1 – Green regional branching and KETS

	(1) Full Sample	(2) Full Sample	(3) Full Sample	(4) No KETs GTs
<i>Dens_{ist}</i>	0.8299*** (0.0220)	1.2247*** (0.0200)	0.9436*** (0.0266)	0.9242*** (0.0287)
<i>GT_RTAtt-5</i>	0.0046*** (0.0003)	0.0184*** (0.0004)	0.0046*** (0.0003)	0.0043*** (0.0003)
<i>Dens_{ist} * GT_RTAtt-5</i>		-0.0454*** (0.0011)		
<i>KETS_RTAtt-5</i>			0.0001*** (0.0000)	0.0002*** (0.0000)
<i>Dens_{ist} * KETS_RTAtt-5</i>			-0.0006*** (0.0001)	-0.0005*** (0.0001)
<i>Dummy_KETS</i>			0.0055*** (0.0011)	
...				
...				
Constant	-0.0015 (0.0042)	-0.1480*** (0.0050)	-0.0161*** (0.0052)	-0.2136*** (0.0485)
<i>N</i>	977184	977184	977184	674365
<i>R</i> ²	0.099	0.119	0.100	0.096
adj. <i>R</i> ²	0.0984	0.1185	0.1001	0.0958

The cognitive/technological proximity to the pre-existing knowledge base makes the acquisition of a green specialisation more probable.

→ Regional branching apparently at work also in the green realm.

Standard errors in parentheses
 * $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

5. Results (preliminary) (2/6)

Table 1 – Green regional branching and KETS

	(1) Full Sample	(2) Full Sample	(3) Full Sample	(4) No KETs GTs
<i>Dens_{ist}</i>	0.8299*** (0.0220)	1.2247*** (0.0200)	0.9436*** (0.0266)	0.9242*** (0.0287)
<i>GT_RTAt_{t-5}</i>	0.0046*** (0.0003)	0.0184*** (0.0004)	0.0046*** (0.0003)	0.0043*** (0.0003)
<i>Dens_{ist} * GT_RTAt_{t-5}</i>		-0.0454*** (0.0011)		
<i>KETS_RTAt_{t-5}</i>			0.0001*** (0.0000)	0.0002*** (0.0000)
<i>Dens_{ist} * KETS_RTAt_{t-5}</i>			-0.0006*** (0.0001)	-0.0005*** (0.0001)
<i>Dummy_KETS</i>			0.0055*** (0.0011)	
...				
...				
Constant	-0.0015 (0.0042)	-0.1480*** (0.0050)	-0.0161*** (0.0052)	-0.2136*** (0.0485)
<i>N</i>	977184	977184	977184	674365
<i>R</i> ²	0.099	0.119	0.100	0.096
adj. <i>R</i> ²	0.0984	0.1185	0.1001	0.0958

Pre-existing green knowledge does also make the acquisition of new green technologies more probable.

→ The regional approach to environmental sustainability actually looks “incremental” and path-dependent.

Standard errors in parentheses
 * $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

5. Results (preliminary) (3/6)

Table 1 – Green regional branching and KETS

	(1) Full Sample	(2) Full Sample	(3) Full Sample	(4) No KETs GTs
<i>Dens_{ist}</i>	0.8299*** (0.0220)	1.2247*** (0.0200)	0.9436*** (0.0266)	0.9242*** (0.0287)
<i>GT_RTAtt-5</i>	0.0046*** (0.0003)	0.0184*** (0.0004)	0.0046*** (0.0003)	0.0043*** (0.0003)
<i>Dens_{ist} * GT_RTAtt-5</i>		-0.0454*** (0.0011)		
<i>KETS_RTAtt-5</i>			0.0001*** (0.0000)	0.0002*** (0.0000)
<i>Dens_{ist} * KETS_RTAtt-5</i>			-0.0006*** (0.0001)	-0.0005*** (0.0001)
<i>Dummy_KETS</i>			0.0055*** (0.0011)	
...				
...				
Constant	-0.0015 (0.0042)	-0.1480*** (0.0050)	-0.0161*** (0.0052)	-0.2136*** (0.0485)
<i>N</i>	977184	977184	977184	674365
<i>R</i> ²	0.099	0.119	0.100	0.096
adj. <i>R</i> ²	0.0984	0.1185	0.1001	0.0958

An history of green knowledge could even make technological relatedness less binding for its renewal.

→ Green regions could have more scope for exploring and diversifying in a sustainable manner.

Standard errors in parentheses
 * $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

5. Results (preliminary) (4/6)

Table 1 – Green regional branching and KETS

	(1) Full Sample	(2) Full Sample	(3) Full Sample	(4) No KETS GTs
<i>Dens_{ist}</i>	0.8299*** (0.0220)	1.2247*** (0.0200)	0.9436*** (0.0266)	0.9242*** (0.0287)
<i>GT_RTAt_{t-5}</i>	0.0046*** (0.0003)	0.0184*** (0.0004)	0.0046*** (0.0003)	0.0043*** (0.0003)
<i>Dens_{ist} * GT_RTAt_{t-5}</i>		-0.0454*** (0.0011)		
<i>KETS_RTAt_{t-5}</i>			0.0001*** (0.0000)	0.0002*** (0.0000)
<i>Dens_{ist} * KETS_RTAt_{t-5}</i>			-0.0006*** (0.0001)	-0.0005*** (0.0001)
<i>Dummy_KETS</i>			0.0055*** (0.0011)	
...				
...				
Constant	-0.0015 (0.0042)	-0.1480*** (0.0050)	-0.0161*** (0.0052)	-0.2136*** (0.0485)
<i>N</i>	977184	977184	977184	674365
<i>R</i> ²	0.099	0.119	0.100	0.096
adj. <i>R</i> ²	0.0984	0.1185	0.1001	0.0958

Standard errors in parentheses

* $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

KETS do actually help green regional branching, and attenuate the role of technological relatedness for it to happen.

→ KETS could help (in particular less and/or no green) regions attenuate the binding role of the proximity to their knowledge base for diversifying in a sustainable manner.

5. Results (preliminary) (5/6)

Table 2 – Green regional branching and KETS by typology

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
<i>Dens_{ist}</i>	0.9536 ^{***} (0.0258)	0.8594 ^{***} (0.0235)	0.8862 ^{***} (0.0270)	0.9089 ^{***} (0.0268)	0.9119 ^{***} (0.0287)	0.9376 ^{***} (0.0281)
<i>KETS_1_RT_A_{it-5}</i>	0.0014 ^{***} (0.0001)					
<i>Dens_{ist}</i> [*] <i>KETS_1_RT_A_{it-5}</i>	-0.0044 ^{***} (0.0004)					
<i>KETS_2_RT_A_{it-5}</i>		0.0245 ^{***} (0.0034)				
<i>Dens_{ist}</i> [*] <i>KETS_2_RT_A_{it-5}</i>		-0.0727 ^{***} (0.0109)				
<i>KETS_3_RT_A_{it-5}</i>			0.0009 ^{***} (0.0002)			
<i>Dens_{ist}</i> [*] <i>KETS_3_RT_A_{it-5}</i>			-0.0026 ^{***} (0.0004)			
<i>KETS_4_RT_A_{it-5}</i>				0.0014 ^{***} (0.0002)		
<i>Dens_{ist}</i> [*] <i>KETS_4_RT_A_{it-5}</i>				-0.0043 ^{***} (0.0006)		
<i>KETS_5_RT_A_{it-5}</i>					0.0005 ^{***} (0.0001)	
<i>Dens</i> [*] <i>KETS_5_RT_A_{it-5}</i>					-0.0014 ^{***} (0.0002)	
<i>KETS_6_RT_A_{it-5}</i>						0.0005 ^{***} (0.0001)
<i>Dens_{ist}</i> [*] <i>KETS_6_RT_A_{it-5}</i>						-0.0014 ^{***} (0.0002)

KETS role invariant with respect to their specific typology:
An apparently homogeneous group also in terms of regional green branching.

5. Results (preliminary) (6/6)

Table 2 – Green regional branching and KETS by typology

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Dummy_KET	0.0036 ^{***} (0.0013)	0.0038 ^{***} (0.0014)	0.0040 ^{***} (0.0014)	0.0040 ^{***} (0.0013)	0.0039 ^{***} (0.0014)	0.0041 ^{***} (0.0013)
GT_RTAt-5	0.0042 ^{***} (0.0003)	0.0042 ^{***} (0.0003)	0.0042 ^{***} (0.0003)	0.0042 ^{***} (0.0003)	0.0042 ^{***} (0.0003)	0.0042 ^{***} (0.0003)
...						
Constant	- 0.1365 ^{***} (0.0402)	0.0972 ^{***} (0.0339)	0.0433 ^{***} (0.0144)	0.0424 ^{***} (0.0144)	0.0413 ^{***} (0.0144)	0.0443 ^{***} (0.0149)
<i>N</i>	784341	784341	784341	784341	784341	784341
<i>R</i> ²	0.092	0.090	0.091	0.091	0.091	0.091
adj. <i>R</i> ²	0.0913	0.0902	0.0905	0.0909	0.0907	0.0912



6. Conclusions and future research (1/2)

- **Main conclusions**
- Regions do show an incremental (path-dependent) approach to environmental sustainability and reveal traces of green branching.
- **Policy implication:** sustainability does actually seem to connect with smartness in the acceptance of RIS3 → RIS3 appears a research grounded connecting policy.
- KETS play a similar role to previous experience of green knowledge in attenuating the impact of technological relatedness on green regional branching.
- **Policy implication:** integrating KETS in the RIS3 toolbox could help inexperienced green regions to enter in the environmental realm.



6. Conclusions and future research (2/2)

- **Future research:**
- Insert other forms of proximity for regional green branching to occur (e.g. spatial one with regional spillovers).
- Address the net role of KETS for regional green branching and eventually their role in allowing regions to shift from non-green to green technologies.
- Compare the net effect of the 6 different KETS.
- Combine the Green Inventory (WIPO, 2012) with the OECD “Environmental Technologies” indicators ENV-TECH (Haščič and Migotto, 2015) to refine the identification of green technologies.
- Refine the econometric strategy and insert a more consistent set of controls (e.g. environmental regulations), also for regions with different degrees of development.



Thanks for your attention

{ 25 }

